

## On the Notion of an Automorphic Representation \*

The irreducible representations of a reductive group over a local field can be obtained from the square-integrable representations of Levi factors of parabolic subgroups by induction and formation of subquotients [2], [4]. Over a global field  $F$  the same process leads from the cuspidal representations, which are analogues of square-integrable representations, to all automorphic representations.

Suppose  $P$  is a parabolic subgroup of  $G$  with Levi factor  $M$  and  $\sigma = \otimes \sigma_v$  a cuspidal representation of  $M(\mathbf{A})$ . Then  $\text{Ind } \sigma = \otimes_v \text{Ind } \sigma_v$  is a representation of  $G(\mathbf{A})$  which may not be irreducible, and may not even have a finite composition series. As usual an irreducible subquotient of this representation is said to be a constituent of it.

For almost all  $v$ ,  $\text{Ind } \sigma_v$  has exactly one constituent  $\pi_v^\circ$  containing the trivial representation of  $G(\mathbf{O}_v)$ . If  $\text{Ind } \sigma_v$  acts on  $X_v$  then  $\pi_v^\circ$  can be obtained by taking the smallest  $G(F_v)$ -invariant subspace  $V_v$  of  $X_v$  containing nonzero vectors fixed by  $G(\mathbf{O}_v)$  together with the largest  $G(F_v)$ -invariant subspace  $U_v$  of  $V_v$  containing no such vectors and then letting  $G(F_v)$  act on  $V_v/U_v$ .

**Lemma 1.** *The constituents of  $\text{Ind } \sigma$  are the representations  $\pi = \otimes \pi_v$  where  $\pi_v$  is a constituent of  $\text{Ind } \sigma_v$  and  $\pi_v = \pi_v^\circ$  for almost all  $v$ .*

That any such representation is a constituent is clear. Conversely let the constituent  $\pi$  act on  $V/U$  with  $0 \subseteq U \subseteq V \subseteq X = \otimes X_v$ . Recall that to construct the tensor product one chooses a finite set of places  $S_0$  and for each  $v$  not in  $S_0$  a vector  $x_v^\circ$  which is not zero and is fixed by  $G(\mathbf{O}_v)$ . We can find a finite set of places  $S$ , containing  $S_0$ , and a vector  $x_S$  in  $X_S = \otimes_{v \in S} X_v$  which are such that  $x = x_S \otimes (\otimes_{v \notin S} x_v^\circ)$  lies in  $V$  but not in  $U$ .

Let  $V_S$  be the smallest subspace of  $X_S$  containing  $x_S$  and invariant under  $G_S = \prod_{v \in S} G(F_v)$ . There is clearly a surjective map

$$V_S \otimes (\otimes_{v \notin S} V_v) \rightarrow V/U,$$

and if  $v_0 \notin S$  the kernel contains  $V_S \otimes U_{v_0} \otimes (\otimes_{v \notin S \cup \{v_0\}} V_v)$ . We obtain a surjection  $V_S \otimes (\otimes_{v \in S} V_v/U_v) \rightarrow V/U$  with a kernel of the form  $U_S \otimes (\otimes_{v \in S} V_v/U_v)$ ,  $U_S$  lying in  $V_S$ . The representation of  $G_S$  on  $V_S/U_S$  is irreducible and, since  $\text{Ind } \sigma_v$  has a finite composition series, of the form  $\otimes_{v \in S} \pi_v$ ,  $\pi_v$  being a constituent of  $\text{Ind } \sigma_v$ . Thus  $\pi = \otimes \pi_v$  with  $\pi_v = \pi_v^\circ$  when  $v \notin S$ .

---

\* Appeared in *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics* Vol. **33** (1979), part 1, pp. 203–207

The purpose of this note is to establish the following proposition.\*

**Proposition 2.** *A representation  $\pi$  of  $G(\mathbf{A})$  is an automorphic representation if and only if  $\pi$  is a constituent of  $\text{Ind } \sigma$  for some  $P$  and some  $\sigma$ .*

The proof that every constituent of  $\text{Ind } \sigma$  is an automorphic representation will invoke the theory of Eisenstein series, which has been fully developed only when the global field  $F$  has characteristic zero [3]. One can expect however that the analogous theory for global fields of positive characteristic will appear shortly; so there is little point in making the restriction to characteristic zero explicit in the proposition. Besides, the proof that every automorphic representation is a constituent of some  $\text{Ind } \sigma$  does not involve the theory of Eisenstein series in any serious way.

We begin by remarking some simple lemmas.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $Z$  be the center of  $G$ . Then an automorphic form is  $Z(\mathbf{A})$ -finite.*

This is verified in [1].

**Lemma 4.** *Suppose  $K$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $G(\mathbf{A})$  and  $\varphi$  an automorphic form with respect to  $K$ . Let  $P$  be a parabolic subgroup of  $G$ . Choose  $g \in G(\mathbf{A})$  and let  $K'$  be a maximal compact subgroup of  $M(\mathbf{A})$  containing the projection of  $gKg^{-1} \cap P(\mathbf{A})$  on  $M(\mathbf{A})$ . Then*

$$\varphi_P(m; g) = \int_{N(F) \backslash N(\mathbf{A})} \varphi(nmg) dn$$

*is an automorphic form on  $M(\mathbf{A})$  with respect to  $K'$ .*

It is clear that the growth conditions are hereditary and that  $\varphi_P(\cdot; g)$  is smooth and  $K'$ -finite. That it transforms under admissible representations of the local Hecke algebras of  $M$  is a consequence of theorems in [2] and [4].

We say that  $\varphi$  is orthogonal to cusp forms if  $\int_{\Omega_{G_{\text{der}}}(\mathbf{A})} \varphi(g)\psi(g) dg = 0$  whenever  $\psi$  is a cusp form and  $\Omega$  is a compact set in  $G(\mathbf{A})$ . If  $P$  is a parabolic subgroup we write  $\psi \perp P$  if  $\varphi_P(\cdot; g)$  is orthogonal to cusp forms on  $M(\mathbf{A})$  for all  $g$ . We recall a simple lemma [3].

---

\* The definition of an automorphic representation is given in the paper [1] by A. Borel and H. Jacquet to which this paper was a supplement.

**Lemma 5.** *If  $\varphi \perp P$  for all  $P$  then  $\varphi$  is zero.*

We now set about proving that any automorphic representation  $\pi$  is a constituent of some  $\text{Ind } \sigma$ . We may realize  $\pi$  on  $V/U$ , where  $U, V$  are subspaces of the space  $\mathcal{A}$  of automorphic forms and  $V$  is generated by a single automorphic form  $\varphi$ . Totally order the conjugacy classes of parabolic subgroups in such a way that  $\{P\} < \{P'\}$  implies  $\text{rank } P \leq \text{rank } P'$  and  $\text{rank } P < \text{rank } P'$  implies  $\{P\} < \{P'\}$ . Given  $\varphi$  let  $\{P_\varphi\}$  be the minimum  $\{P\}$  for which  $\{P\} < \{P'\}$  implies  $\varphi \perp P'$ . Amongst all the  $\varphi$  serving to generate  $\pi$  choose one for which  $\{P\} = \{P_\varphi\}$  is minimal. If  $\psi \in \mathcal{A}$  let  $\psi_P(g) = \psi_P(1, g)$  and consider the map  $\psi \rightarrow \psi_P$  on  $V$ . If  $U$  and  $V$  had the same image we could realize  $\pi$  as a constituent of the kernel of the map. But this is incompatible with our choice of  $\varphi$  and hence if  $U_P$  and  $V_P$  are the images of  $U$  and  $V$  we can realize  $\pi$  in the quotient  $V_P/U_P$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}_P^\circ$  be the space of smooth functions  $\psi$  on  $N(\mathbf{A})P(F)\backslash G(\mathbf{A})$  satisfying the following conditions.

- (a)  $\psi$  is  $K$ -finite.
- (b) For each  $g$  the function  $m \rightarrow \psi(m, g) = \psi(mg)$  is automorphic and cuspidal.

Then  $V_P \subseteq \mathcal{A}_P^\circ$ . Since there is no point in dragging the subscript  $P$  about, we change notation, letting  $\pi$  be realized on  $V/U$  with  $U \subseteq V \subseteq \mathcal{A}_P^\circ$ . We suppose that  $V$  is generated by a single function  $\varphi$ .

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $A$  be the center of  $M$ . We may so choose  $\varphi$  and  $V$  that there is a character  $\chi$  of  $A(\mathbf{A})$  satisfying  $\varphi(ag) = \chi(a)\varphi(g)$  for all  $g \in G(\mathbf{A})$  and all  $a \in A(\mathbf{A})$ .*

Since  $P(\mathbf{A})\backslash G(\mathbf{A})/K$  is finite, Lemma 3 implies that any  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{A}_P^\circ$  is  $A(\mathbf{A})$ -finite. Choose  $V$  and the  $\varphi$  generating it to be such that the dimension of the span  $Y$  of  $\{l(a)\varphi | a \in A(\mathbf{A})\}$  is minimal. Here  $l(a)$  is left translation by  $a$ . If this dimension is one the lemma is valid. Otherwise there is an  $a \in A(\mathbf{A})$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$  such that  $0 < \dim(l(a) - \alpha)Y < \dim Y$ . There are two possibilities. Either  $(l(a) - \alpha)U = (l(a) - \alpha)V$  or  $(l(a) - \alpha)U \neq (l(a) - \alpha)V$ . In the second case we may replace  $\varphi$  by  $(l(a) - \alpha)\varphi$ , contradicting our choice. In the first we can realize  $\pi$  as a subquotient of the kernel of  $l(a) - \alpha$  in  $V$ .

What we do then is to choose a lattice  $B$  in  $A(\mathbf{A})$  such that  $BA(F)$  is closed and  $BA(F)\backslash A(\mathbf{A})$  is compact. Amongst all those  $\varphi$  and  $V$  for which  $Y$  has the minimal possible dimension we choose one  $\varphi$  for which the subgroup of  $B$  defined as  $\{b \in B | l(b)\varphi = \beta\varphi, \beta \in \mathbf{C}\}$  has maximal rank. What we conclude from the previous paragraph is that this rank must be that of  $B$ . Since  $\varphi$  is invariant under

$A(F)$  and  $BA(F)\backslash A(\mathbf{A})$  is compact, we conclude that  $Y$  must now have dimension one. The lemma follows.

Choosing such a  $\varphi$  and  $V$  we let  $\nu$  be that positive character of  $M(\mathbf{A})$  which satisfies

$$\nu(a) = |\chi(a)|, \quad a \in A(\mathbf{A}),$$

and introduce the Hilbert space  $L_2^\circ = L_2^\circ(M(F)\backslash M(\mathbf{A}), \chi)$  of all measurable functions  $\psi$  on  $M(\mathbf{Q})\backslash M(\mathbf{A})$  satisfying the following conditions.

(i) For all  $m \in M(\mathbf{A})$  and all  $a \in A(\mathbf{A})$ ,  $\psi(ag) = \chi(a)\psi(g)$ .

(ii)  $\int_{A(\mathbf{A})M(\mathbf{Q})\backslash M(\mathbf{A})} \nu^{-2}(m)|\psi(m)|^2 dm < \infty$ .

$L_2^\circ$  is a direct sum of irreducible invariant subspaces and if  $\psi \in V$  then  $m \rightarrow \psi(m, g)$  lies in  $L_2^\circ$  for all  $g \in G(\mathbf{A})$ . Choose some irreducible component  $H$  of  $L_2^\circ$  on which the projection of  $\psi(\cdot, g)$  is not zero for some  $g \in G(\mathbf{A})$ .

For each  $\psi$  in  $V$  define  $\psi'(\cdot, g)$  to be the projection of  $\psi(\cdot, g)$  on  $H$ . It is easily seen that, for all  $m_1 \in M(\mathbf{A})$ ,  $\psi'(mm_1, g) = \psi'(m, m_1g)$ . Thus we may define  $\psi'(g)$  by  $\psi'(g) = \psi'(1, g)$ . If  $V' = \{\psi' | \psi \in V\}$ , then we realize  $\pi$  as a quotient of  $V'$ . However if  $\delta^2$  is the modular function for  $M(\mathbf{A})$  on  $N(\mathbf{A})$  and  $\sigma$  the representation of  $M(\mathbf{A})$  on  $H$  then  $V'$  is contained in the space of  $\text{Ind } \delta^{-1}\sigma$ .

To prove the converse, and thereby complete the proof of the proposition, we exploit the analytic continuation of the Eisenstein series associated to cusp forms. Suppose  $\pi$  is a representation of the global Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}$ , defined with respect to some maximal compact subgroup  $K$  of  $G(\mathbf{A})$ . Choose an irreducible representation  $k$  of  $K$  which is contained in  $\pi$ . If  $E_\kappa$  is the idempotent defined by  $\kappa$  let  $\mathcal{H}_\kappa = E_\kappa \mathcal{H} E_k$  and let  $\pi_\kappa$  be the irreducible representation of  $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$  on the  $\kappa$ -isotypical subspace of  $\pi$ . To show that  $\pi$  is an automorphic representation, it is sufficient to show that  $\pi_\kappa$  is a constituent of the representation of  $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$  on the space of automorphic forms of type  $\kappa$ . To lighten the burden on the notation, we henceforth denote  $\pi_\kappa$  by  $\pi$  and  $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$  by  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Suppose  $P$  and the cuspidal representation  $\sigma$  of  $M(\mathbf{A})$  are given. Let  $L$  be the lattice of rational characters of  $M$  defined over  $F$  and let  $L_{\mathbf{C}} = L \otimes \mathbf{C}$ . Each element  $\mu$  of  $L_{\mathbf{C}}$  defines a character  $\xi_\mu$  of  $M(\mathbf{A})$ . Let  $I_\mu$  be the  $\kappa$ -isotypical subspace of  $\text{Ind } \xi_\mu \sigma$  and let  $I = I_0$ . We want to show that if  $\pi$  is a constituent of the representation of  $I$  then  $\pi$  is a constituent of the representation of  $\mathcal{H}$  on the space of automorphic forms of type  $\kappa$ .

If  $\{g_i\}$  is a set of coset representatives for  $P(\mathbf{A})\backslash G(\mathbf{A})/K$  then we may identify  $I_\mu$  with  $I$  by means of the map  $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi_\mu$  with

$$\varphi_\mu(nmg_ik) = \xi_\mu(m)\varphi(nmg_ik).$$

In other words we have a trivialization of the bundle  $\{I_\mu\}$  over  $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ , and we may speak of a holomorphic section or of a section vanishing at  $\mu = 0$  to a certain order. These notions do not depend on the choice of the  $g_i$ , although the trivialization does.

There is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $\mu = 0$  and a finite set of hyperplanes passing through  $U$  such that for  $\mu$  in the complement of these hyperplanes in  $U$  the Eisenstein series  $E(\varphi)$  is defined for  $\varphi$  in  $I_\mu$ . To make things simpler we may even multiply  $E$  by a product of linear functions and assume that it is defined on all of  $U$ . Since it is only the modified function that we shall use, we may denote it by  $E$ , although it is no longer the true Eisenstein series. It takes values in the space of automorphic forms and thus  $E(\varphi)$  is a function  $g \rightarrow E(g, \varphi)$  on  $G(\mathbf{A})$ . It satisfies

$$E(\rho_\mu(h)\varphi) = r(h)E(\varphi)$$

if  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\rho_\mu$  is  $\text{Ind } \xi_\mu \sigma$ . In addition, if  $\varphi_\mu$  is a holomorphic section of  $\{I_\mu\}$  in a neighborhood of 0 then  $E(g, \varphi_\mu)$  is a holomorphic in  $\mu$  for each  $g$ , and the derivatives of  $E(\varphi_\mu)$ , taken pointwise, continue to be in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $I_r$  be the space of germs of degree  $r$  at  $\mu = 0$  of holomorphic sections of  $I$ . Then  $\varphi_\mu \rightarrow \rho_\mu(h)\varphi_\mu$  defines an action of  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $I_r$ . If  $s \leq r$  the natural map  $I_r \rightarrow I_s$  is an  $\mathcal{H}$ -homomorphism. Denote its kernel by  $I_r^s$ . Certainly  $I_0 = I$ . Choosing a basis for the linear forms on  $L_{\mathbb{C}}$  we may consider power series with values in the  $\kappa$ -isotypical subspace of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\sum_{|\alpha| \leq r} \mu^\alpha \psi_\alpha$ .  $\mathcal{H}$  acts by right translation on this space and the representation so obtained is, of course, a direct sum of that on the  $\kappa$ -isotypical automorphic forms. Moreover  $\varphi_\mu \rightarrow E(\varphi_\mu)$  defines an  $\mathcal{H}$ -homomorphism  $\lambda$  from  $I_r$  to this space. To complete the proof of the proposition all one needs is the Jordan-Hölder theorem and the following lemma.

**Lemma 7.** *For  $r$  sufficiently large the kernel of  $\lambda$  is contained in  $I_r^0$ .*

Since we are dealing with Eisenstein series associated to a fixed  $P$  and  $\sigma$  we may replace  $E$  by the sum of its constant terms for the parabolic associated to  $P$ , modifying  $\lambda$  accordingly. All of these constant terms vanish identically if and only if  $E$  itself does. If  $Q_1, \dots, Q_m$  is a set of representatives for the classes of parabolics associated to  $P$  let  $E_i(\varphi)$  be the constant term along  $Q_i$ . We may suppose

that  $M$  is a Levi factor of each  $Q_i$ . Define  $\nu(m)$  for  $m \in M(\mathbf{A})$  by  $\xi_\mu(m) = e^{\langle \mu, \nu(m) \rangle}$ . Thus  $\nu(m)$  lies in the dual of  $L_R$ . If  $\varphi \in I_\mu$ , the function of  $E_i(\varphi)$  has the form

$$E_i(nmg_jk, \varphi) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^a \sum_{\beta=1}^b p_\alpha(\nu(m)) \xi_{\nu_\beta(\mu)}(m) \psi_{\alpha\beta}(m, k).$$

Here  $\psi_{\alpha\beta}$  lies in a finite-dimensional space independent of  $\mu$  and  $g_j$ ;  $\nu_\beta$ ,  $1 \leq \beta \leq b$  is a holomorphic function of  $\mu$ ; and  $\{p_\alpha\}$  is a basis for the polynomials of some degree. This representation may not be unique. The next lemma implies that we may shrink the open set  $U$  and then find a finite set  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  in  $G(\mathbf{A})$  such that  $E(\varphi_\mu)$  is 0 for  $\mu \in U$ ,  $\varphi_\mu \in I_\mu$  if and only if the numbers  $E_i(h_j, \varphi_\mu)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$  are all 0.

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $U$  be a neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathbf{C}^l$ ,  $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k$  holomorphic functions on  $U$  and  $p_1, \dots, p_a$  a basis for the polynomials of some given degree. Then there is a neighborhood  $V$  of 0 contained in  $U$  and a finite set  $y_1, \dots, y_b$  in  $\mathbf{C}^l$  such that if  $\mu \in V$  then*

$$(*) \quad \sum p_i(y) e^{\nu_j(\mu) \cdot y} = 0$$

for all  $y$  if and only if it is 0 for  $y = y_1, \dots, y_b$ .

To prove this lemma one has only to observe that the analytic subset of  $U$  defined by the equation  $(*)$ ,  $y \in \mathbf{C}^l$ , is defined in a neighborhood of 0 by a finite number of them.

We may therefore regard  $E$  as a function on  $U$  with values in the space of linear transformations from the space  $I$ , which is finite-dimensional, to the space  $C^{mn}$ . One knows from the theory of Eisenstein series that  $E_\mu$  is injective for  $\mu$  in an open subset of  $U$ . Then to complete the proof of the proposition, we need only verify the following lemma.

**Lemma 9.** *Suppose  $E$  is a holomorphic function in  $U$ , a neighborhood of 0 on  $\mathbf{C}^l$ , with values in  $\text{Hom}(I, J)$ , where  $I$  and  $J$  are finite-dimensional spaces, and suppose that  $E_\mu$  is injective on an open subset of  $U$ . Then there is an integer  $r$  such that if  $\varphi_\mu$  is analytic near  $\mu = 0$  and the Taylor series of  $E_\mu \varphi_\mu$  vanishes to order  $r$  then  $\varphi_0 = 0$ .*

Projecting to a quotient of  $J$ , we may assume that  $\dim I = \dim J$  and even that  $I = J$ . Let the first nonzero term of the power-series expansion of  $\det E_\mu$  have degree  $s$ . Then we will show that  $r$  can be taken to be  $s + 1$ . It is enough to verify this for  $l = 1$ , for we can restrict to a line on which the

leading term of  $E_\mu$  still has degree  $s$ . But then multiplying  $E$  fore and aft by nonsingular matrices we may suppose it is diagonal with entries  $z^\alpha$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq s$ . Then the assertion is obvious.

In conclusion I would like to thank P. Deligne, who drew my attention to a blunder in the first version of the paper.

### References

1. A. Borel and H. Jacquet, *Automorphic forms and automorphic representations*, these Proceedings, part 1, pp. 189–202.
2. P. Cartier, *Representations of  $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic groups: A survey*, these Proceedings, part 1, pp. 111–155.
3. R.P. Langlands, *On the functional equations satisfied by Eisenstein series*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 544, Springer, New York, 1976.
4. N. Wallach, *Representations of reductive Lie groups*, these Proceedings, part 1, pp. 71–86.